# ORANGE COAST COLLEGE

Academic Senate Meeting | 09/22/20 | 11:30 am - 12:30 pm | Zoom Meeting

Academic Senate Member Attendance								
Jessica A. Alabi, at-Large	Present	Lee Gordon, at-Large, Vice President	Present					
Carol Barnes, Counseling	Present	Marilyn Kennedy, Lit & Lang, PDI Chair, Secretary	Present					
Jamie Blair, at-Large	Present	Jodie Legaspi, Athletics & Kinesiology	Present					
Tyler Boogar, Math & Sciences	Present	Doug Lloyd, at-Large, Parliamentarian	Present					
Sean Connor, at-Large	Present	Leland Means, Visual & Performing Arts	Present					
Eric Cuellar, at-Large	Present	Jeanne Neil, Business & Computing	Present					
Tina De Shano, Consumer & Health Sciences	Present	Charles Otwell, Curriculum Chair	Present					
Jodi Della Marna, Library	Present	Max Pena, at-Large	Present					
Matt Denney, Technology	Present	Clyde Phillips, at-Large	Present					
Rendell Drew, at-Large	Present	Loren Sachs, at-Large, President	Present					
Cyndee Ely, Part-Time Faculty	Present	Jordan Stanton, Social & Behavioral Sciences	Present					
Diogba G'bye, Part-Time Faculty	Absent	Stella Tsai, SGOCC Representative	Absent					

- 1 Guests (Optional & Voluntary Sign-In): Arabian Morgan, Pam Walker, Rebecca Morgan,
- 2 Renee DeLong, Rich Pagel.

3

5

12

13 14

15

16

## 1. Preliminary Matters

- 4 A. Call to Order: President Loren Sachs called the meeting to order at 11:30 A.M.
  - B. Approval of the Minutes September 8 & September 15, 2020:
- 6 **Motion 1: Senator Kennedy moved to** approve the September 15, 2020, minutes with a minor correction; motion seconded; motion unanimously.
- 8 **Motion 2: Senator Kennedy moved to** approve the September 8, 2020, minutes; motion seconded; motion approved.
- 10 C. Opportunity for Public Comment: Eric Cuellar, Katie McCarroll, Rendell Drew.
- 1) D. For the Good of the Order Announcements:
  - **Senator Kennedy:** Reiterated Katie McCarroll's public comment [from the Literature and Languages division]. There is a letter circulating [about the new building being built right now], expressing concerns about the lack of healthy air circulation and operable windows (they cannot be opened) in response to COVID-19 health concerns and various other health and safety reasons.
- Senator Ely: Reminded the faculty that the Communities of Practice for Part-Time Faculty session that was scheduled for week three has been rescheduled for this Thursday [September 24th], focusing on using online resources to create community within the classroom. Faculty can register through Cornerstone; the link will also be in the weekly email.

**Senator Barnes:** Made the Senate aware that Counseling has availability for counseling appointments, so encourage faculty to send students now before the spring schedule comes out, before the big rush for registration begins.

## 2. Consent Agenda

**Motion 3: Senator Kennedy moved to** approve the consent agenda; motion seconded; motion approved unanimously.

# 3. Officer, Senator, & Committee Reports

#### A. Academic Senate President – Loren Sachs:

- Facilities Meeting/Windows in New building: President Sachs stated that during the meeting last week Michael Mandelkern made a comment reiterating his faculty's desire for operable windows. President Sachs wanted to make it clear that the statement that he made was that air quality needed to be considered for all faculty and all buildings on campus; it was not meant to be belittle the request from the Literature and Languages and Behavioral Social Sciences faculty to have operable windows reexamined for the new building, but rather that the concerns for air quality should also be looked at globally across the campus, as well.
- IPC: The first IPC meeting of the year was last week. There is a change in membership being requested by IPC. This will be on the agenda next week for discussion, then for voting the following week.
- Statewide Plenary: The ASCCC Plenary (November 5-7) is remote this year and the cost is lowered substantially. The E-Board typically but due to lowered costs it would be good to have one or five other senators attend. Those interested should contact Beatriz Rodriguez, Assistant to the Academic Senate. If there are more than five senators interested, there will be a random draw and then confirmation of wish to attend to ensure that the proper paperwork can be processed to get the early bird registration, which allows more folks go.
- **Sign-In Form for Guests at Senate Meetings:** President Sachs stated that there is a google form for guests to officially sign in [to be listed on the minutes]. The link was sent via chat.
- Meetings Practices: Based on Robert's Rules, the E-board is asking Senate members to limit referencing other members of the body, unless they are copresenters, so that there is no confusion or conflict as to whether or not coendorsement is intended. The challenge is that there are only 40 to 45 minutes a week to get through business, so after somebody has spoken on a topic, whether it is in support of or in opposition to, we will let anyone who has not had a chance to speak yet address the Senate, then you can speak again. Also, if three people that have effectively supported the original statement then we will ask for an opposing view and then move the items forward to stay within the time frame. This is a process to clean up the meetings and make them more efficient [as per Robert's Rules]. President Sachs asked the body to be patient and we will work together, and to please reach out to President Sachs if there is something that needs to be addressed.
- **B.** District Hiring Policy Update Secretary Kennedy: Submitted a written report to the Senate Administrative Support and the Senate attendees [see appendix at the end of these meeting minutes for the full, unabridged report with demographic data charts]

that covers everything that happened at the Board Policies and Administrative Procedures (BPAP) Committee meeting last week. It will also have other items that will be brought back next week for discussion.

**AP 7120C Faculty Hiring –Revision, Update:** There are a variety of legal and policy references: Cal. Regulation 53001C; BP 3420; and the [State] Chancellor's Report 2020 Vision for Success Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Task Force.

The new policy has been updated to ensure that there is diversity all throughout the hiring process. The major changes are these:

- 1. The college president or designee can disapprove (actually approve) of a search committee and adjust it with the Academic Senate. The reasons for this stated on the proposed policy proposal right now are for diversity, but there have been concerns in the Senate and also from my division, as well, that it allows a future, unknown president to misuse the policy to replace a person or pull somebody from a committee to load a committee at some other time [for personal or preferential reasons not related to diversity].
- 2. There are HR EEO diversity checks at each step, but those are policy procedures that are in place now. At the conclusion of each step in the search process, HR EEO will review recommendations to ensure there is no adverse impact to disproportionately impacted groups with the options of going back for reinclusion under search or discontinuous.
- **3.** The college president may add additional faculty or administration to selection committees (the final committee that selects from the final group of forwarded candidates]. No justification was provided for this in the current interim policy. Therefore, they could add administrators or faculty for any reasons at all.
- **4.** The CFE president requested we consider giving higher weight to tenured faculty over tenure track for placement on search committee, reasoned that there was a problem in counseling about this and the committee was not diverse enough [per 9-18-2020 emails to Senate representative]. That is something to think about.
- 5. There is a change proposed to allow a part-time search committee to be made up of the division Dean and either one or two faculty members. The campuses are currently doing that, but this would broaden it to one or two faculties.

Charts with Data: At the end of the report are charts with the race/ethnicity census of students compared to faculty [from fall 2018]. Also included is the chart presented to the Senate a few weeks ago showing the disproportionately impacted students.

Next week we will review the updated the policy proposal so please ask your divisions about the five points presented.

#### 4. Unfinished Business

A. President's Taskforce in Equity and Inclusion; Selection Criteria for Faculty Volunteers – All Senate Discussion

**President Sachs**: Last week the Academic Senate started on the selection criteria for Senate membership on the Taskforce. Some of the criteria previously discussed includes background or training in equity, diversity and inclusion; a demonstrated commitment;

and one representative per division to broaden the educational experience that faculty are representing on that Taskforce. There was a question if that would include Student Services and Specific Counseling. The answer is potentially yes but that is something that can be talked about as we move forward.

**Senator 1:** Are we trying to determine what selection process we are developing or criteria for the four people?

**President Sachs:** That would be a combination of both because if we have a criterion of the people that we are looking for, then that would be in the call out and then we would have the selection of that grouping once we had the interested candidates.

**Senator 1:** The criteria should definitely be that people should have an interest in being involved and should have some experience in equity and diversity. They should read the mission of the Taskforce and understand the seriousness of it. People should not get involved if they are not committed to the work or if they are going to sabotage the work. If people are not interested in OCC changing or it becoming more inclusive and closing equity gaps, then this is not the taskforce to get involved in. That is said in a very honest way because the entire campus is not interested in that work. There has been equity training in the past in which faculty were having to get paid \$800 to attend and even then some did not go. We do not do a lot of equity work on the instructional side. This is not a popular topic for faculty, so the hope is that people who are truly interested are the ones who want to volunteer. It is also important to have someone who is experienced with budgets.

**Senator 2:** It is important to have integrity in regards to keeping the composition of this taskforce together. We need to ensure how we evolve and grow at Orange Coast College and how the community needs are addressed so that it looks to the present and the future. The integrity of this taskforce or future committees will be integral in regards to contributing to the college success not only the current success, but also to maintain that in the future.

**Senator 3:** The four representatives should demonstrate commitment and background in community organizations, various leadership roles, educational backgrounds, associations, teaching or administrative roles. Those are important things that are desirable. At the same time, it is important not to create any roadblock for anyone who has a true desire to serve and someone who can really get up to speed and have that true commitment—those who have a true heart and a desire to serve—maybe list these under "desirable."

**Senator 4:** Having in-class experience working with students that fit within equity is critical and the background is that you are there in the classroom. It is in the classroom where the understanding of certain needs is experienced. It is all important, but that classroom experience would be very valuable to the committee.

**Senator 1:** Informed that she has an extensive background in equity, anti-racism, inclusion, and diversity, and a masters and a PhD in stratification inequality, race and ethnicity. That is not what she meant as what should be the criteria. As community college instructors, we all have a sense of experience by working with the populations we work with. It would not be fair, for example, in bringing someone from ethnic studies or social sciences, who do this work all the time and then only pulling from there. She would not exclude anyone who does not work in a discipline that that is focused on race and ethnicity. She does mean, though, that some have more experience on campus in working with Guardian Scholars,

EOPS, but would not exclude anyone who does not work in a discipline that is focused on race and ethnicity.

Senator 5: We should be looking at the individuals to represent faculty in the Taskforce to take into consideration the history of the campus, the legacy. The legacy that has been established and the new legacy that will be established. The candidates would need to look for change in the future, look to support the

**President Sachs**: Requested that Rebecca Morgan, along with other faculty members who would be willing to work with President Sachs and Rebecca Morgan, to take the ideas being discussed and put together the "desirable qualifications" and then bring that back to the body. The body would then discuss what the "desirable qualifications" would look like. If there is consensus on that then the Senate can move forward with a call out to solicit participation.

direction in the future of expanding what the current President is trying to accomplish. It is important to understand the history to make a change.

**Director of Human Resources – Rebecca Morgan:** Emphasized that it is not the purview of HR to select committee members for a taskforce unless she is only being asked for her expertise on something. She will only provide support in crafting HR type of language.

**Senator 2:** Clarified that on his previous comment he wanted to emphasize the importance of community engagement.

**President Sachs**: Said that any senators interested in working on the selection criteria to let him know by end of day on Wednesday, September 23<sup>rd</sup>. He will work with Beatriz Rodriguez, Assistant to the Senate, to send out an announcement. It will be limited for Senators at this point.

**Senator 3:** Volunteered to discuss the selection criteria.

**Senator 1:** Question for President Sachs; do you want us to wait before we discuss the selection process?

**President Sachs**: Yes, once the desirable qualifications have been established, the selection process can be discussed.

#### B. Equity and Inclusion Resolution – Senator Eric Cuellar:

**President Sachs** opened the discussion and referenced a senator who had attempted to address his constituent's concerns for this item in for the "Public Comments and asked him to speak first to open the discussion:

Whereas, OCC is striving to embrace an antiracist and an anti-privilege attitude as evidenced by announcement on the OCC Website;

Whereas, the college's website reference to BLM is well intentioned, a true commitment to ethnic and cultural diversity, as well as racial equity requires action and policies that sustain and uphold claims of support of BLM and others who are disadvantaged;

Whereas, the future administrative and faculty leadership of OCC should ideally mirror the students we enroll and serve and who are, mostly, financially and educationally disadvantaged;

Whereas, the best way to demonstrate a true commitment towards being an inclusive institution that values diversity and culture is to have search committees that are equitable, inclusive, and diverse;

204

205

206

207

208209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

Whereas, culturally diverse administrators and faculty would be much more highly likely to attract highly-qualified future administrators, faculty, and students who are ethnically, culturally, and socio-economically diverse;

Be it Resolved, that all future administrative as well as faculty search committees be ethnically and culturally diverse and comprised of individuals, within those search committees, who are—themselves—ethnically and culturally diverse;

Be it Further Resolved, that the composition of any future search committees be inclusive and reflective of the diverse voices that OCC claims to want to support and welcome.

**Senator 6:** Commented that he has had a lot of people express concerns about the resolution regarding the line (18 of the original document) that includes the word "themselves." One person said that that means a whole lot more work for individuals who fit that criteria. Other people said that this is an HR issue and that they have not only federal, state, local, county, but district policies dealing with discrimination. Because he has not received everyone's feedback, he needs more time before he can represent his division, but as of now, his vote is no.

Senator 7: Expressed his deepest support for the Black Lives Matter movement referenced in the resolution and the heartbreak that he feels for the families that have been destroyed by racism and the violence incited by racism. Any words would ultimately fall short. He can understand the need for action, but his constituents have concerns about the resolution. He feared speaking out today as he is new to the Senate. He hopes that his comments are not taken as opposition to equity and change as he supports equity and change but wants to work on determining the best policies and if needed, the best resolution. Some of the concerns center on the practical implementations of the resolution. If there is not a diversity of volunteers for a committee, will certain faculty be compelled to participate over those who have volunteered? In order to satisfy the requirement, could that mean faculty outside of a discipline would have priority over faculty within the discipline? Currently, there is a voting system within the department for faculty hiring committees. If there is a surplus of volunteers, then they pull the names from the hat for campus-wide committees. If this policy were enacted, it may increase the representation of certain disenfranchised groups on committees but would cause an inequity on an individual faculty member's chance to serve on a hiring committee. Lastly, most of these demographics are self-identified. How will it be determined what constitutes as ethnically and especially culturally diverse? Diversity is important in any institution and the college serves the students and community best when it has a diversity of backgrounds and experiences. His constituents do not feel that the resolution in its current form is the right step to do that, but many would be open to a revised version of the resolution with some of these details fleshed out. Until that time, he will be voting against the resolution for the simple reason that he represents his division. He hopes that regardless of whether this resolution passes, a larger investigation and conversation can be continued into the [hiring] committees' selection practices and work with HR and institutional effectiveness to collect data on the topic. If there is a more detailed resolution, he will take it back to his division for their review.

299

300

301

302

303

304

**Senator 8:** The hiring policy presented earlier in this meeting dealt with much of this and it has been vetted by District legal. Additionally, my constituents sent eloquent responses to this proposed resolution. There were concerns regarding the legality of parts of it, but if wording and clarity are simply the issue, that can be worked on. There was a suggestion that the reference to Black Lives Matter should be more strongly represented in hiring committees' political make-up. Others wanted more variety of backgrounds/experiences/viewpoints on diversity delineated or clarified. Many people asked about how a person's ethnicity is identified, one saying, "there may be situations where an administrator can look at a person and conclude 'oh, that person is a particular race' but I don't think it's always possible to look at someone and conclude 'he is Hispanic or she is part Asian on your mother's side or she's bisexual." Another expressed discomfort with having to out anybody in terms of sexuality as an identifier to be on a search committee; that person did not believe that the college was gathering data about that but that it should be very careful not to put that on applications or request that from people. Overall, they thought the intent of the resolution as good, but it might help to have it some areas worded more clearly. For example, there were two definitions of diversity read today earlier in the meeting that were put into legal documents: one of them was from the board policy which was from the Ed Code, another was from the District hiring policy which was just vetted for language from District legal, and the third was from the [State] Chancellor's memo from a student representative. All three definitions should be considered, as well. This might define diversity a little more clearly and help people in understanding.

Senator 1: In sociology, students are taught how to identify systemic racism and institutional discrimination. It is interesting we are pretending about how this resolution is about Black Lives Matter when it is really not. There are two lines that say, be it resolved that faculty search committees be ethnically and culturally diverse; this resolution is not about Black Lives Matter. It is about diverse faculty hiring committees. It is interesting that the college will take this and pretend it is all about Black Lives Matter when it is not. It is interesting how we will pretend that we do not know how to diversify our college but for years, we have known how to keep it white. We are part of the problem. We very well know that structural inequality is part of a systemic problem. This is the problem when we pretend that we do not see the issue. The issue is that white people hire white people and I have to call that out. It has been part of our problem for decades and for years. When you do not have diverse hiring committees, you do not hire diverse people; we have been called out on it on accreditations. Many community colleges have. When you do not have diverse hiring committees and you do not have diverse call outs, it is a human resource issue, which I have also pointed out. When your human resources department does not put the call outs of what we are trying to hire for in diverse newspapers, list serves, we do not get people applying. Even when we get people applying, when we do not have diverse hiring committees, many studies (implicit hiring training) know that people hire white men with Cs before they hire black men with As. They will hire a white man with criminal records before they hire black men without them. I am not really sure why we are pretending this is about Black Lives Matter because all the whereases are not the resolution; the two last sentences are. I am frankly surprised that educators are pretending this is about Black Lives Matter. While I was not in the Senate many people who are spent a lot of time talking about nepotism. When I asked if they were was talking about nepotism because people were being hired who were relatives and friends, they were actually

talking about nepotism so their friends and relatives could be hired. When I pointed out that was weird, someone said that was cronyism. I am pointing out that this Senate and this college has spent very little time talking about equity, diversity, and anti-racism. It still wants to spend very little time talking about diversity, inclusion and anti-racism but spends a lot of time talking about things that do not trickle down to student success and issues of disproportionately impacted students, like hiring diverse faculty does. I am frankly very surprised that educators are trying to act like these two Be it resolved lines are really not the issue at hand.

**Senator 9:** The resolution was presented at the last Counseling meeting last week and we did a poll. Total participants were sixteen. In favor were ten, and against were six. This is for whomever answered the poll. A couple of them abstained because they had more questions than answers; they would like further discussion or perhaps another meeting. Another one indicated that the resolution implies that faculty are not a diverse group.

Senator 10: I received eight responses from our division. One of the faculty members quoted Ruth Bader Ginsburg, "fight for the things you care about, but do it in a way that will lead others to follow you." This was a very good way to kind of segue into just a few remarks. One paragraph talks about diversity within hiring committees and as such if we had diversity within hiring committees, then we would naturally attract diversity in possible candidates. They was not supported in my division. One of the constituents said that when it comes to equity and outcome, there can be no assurances of equality if the goal is to seek the most talented and competitive professionals we can. There is no way to determine in advance how demographically diverse the finalists in the applicant pool will be unless the process is manipulated in some way. Such manipulation, no matter how well intentioned, is discrimination. Another comment was to take BLM out of the resolution if we do not support them and to strike the sentence because as a public institution, we should not be endorsing BLM in any way; the letters BLM do appear in the resolution. Overall, we like the tone and the goal of the resolution, but just to give you a little other side of the picture, my concern is that even if good faith efforts are followed, it is possible that there may be a complaint by some group that was left out. I would change it from a specific requirement of equity inclusion to something along the lines of "a good faith and diligent effort criteria." After reading this over maybe twenty times I come away feeling like I am the bad guy, I am bigsed. I am the problem. In my years here, I have never seen or heard of a problem with equality, diversity, or equity. That is not to say they do not exist. Can I not sit on hiring committees because I am white, and I am not disadvantaged?

**Senator 11:** I completely agree with the announcement on the OCC website referred to in the resolution and the sentiment behind the resolution that we should promote diversity in hiring committees. I believe that diversity in backgrounds and opinions can strengthen a decision-making body. Hiring committee formation is a topic that is of deep interest to faculty and we should proceed with caution when approaching a change to our hiring committee formation process. I am concerned that the current resolution will be used to change the formation of faculty hiring committees by removing decisions from the discipline faculty. I trust that faculty at OCC have the best intentions when forming hiring committees. Our current process for forming administrative hiring committees is based on the principle of equal opportunity. I am concerned that the current resolution will disrupt this process and may undermine the principle of

equal opportunity. This resolution comes from a sincere desire to correct a problem; the best way to approach the solution to the problem is with thoughtful discourse and careful study. The Orange Coast College President is in the process of forming a task force on equity and inclusion. I believe this task force will work together with the Senate to carefully define policies that support the goal of this resolution without undermining faculty purview or the principle of equal opportunity. We should hold off on passing a resolution prematurely. We should spend the time to do the necessary due diligence and ensure that any resolution or policy supports our faculty.

**Senator 3:** I want to ask for an extension of this conversation and that this item is moved up higher in the agenda because this is just a perfect example of what we are talking about. It is never a problem until it becomes a problem. This academic senate body is the body of the faculty leadership of this college. We have a resolution here that has obviously ruffled a whole lot of feathers. I was not the author of the resolution, but I do support what it is trying to say; we can wordsmith the resolution. I think the author of the resolution, who could not get a word in, should set it up. I would like to make sure that we bring this back next time with plenty of time to discuss it. We are at a critical juncture here at OCC. Now whatever your views are on Black Lives Matter, racial injustice, social justice, if one wants to take the ostrich approach and stick their head in the sand, "oh there are no problems here," then there are no problems, but the reality says differently. We are in the process right now of making a paradigm shift here at OCC. You just heard mentioned the President's Taskforce that is very important. Yes, we have many processes working. What is all this training about that is going on? If anybody truly knows me as a Christian, I am the healer here. The reality is we need to move this up and have a full Senate discussion and maybe President Sachs we can have a dedicated Senate or a special meeting. We need more time to discuss this because it always seems to get pushed back as the last thing you know on the agenda, and that is very telling in itself.

# 5. New Business

A. Credit for Prior Learning (CPL) – Curriculum Chair Charles Otwell: Did not get to present, so will be carried over to the following meeting on September 29th.

#### 6. Adjournment of the Regular Meeting

389 President Sachs adjourned the meeting at 12:34 P.M.

#### **Approval of the Minutes:** September 29, 2020

**MINUTES:** First draft written by Beatriz Rodriguez, Administrative Assistant to the Senates. Revision of first draft and Senate-approved drafts written by Senate Secretary, Marilyn Kennedy, who also distributes the final Senate-approved version to the Chancellor, Board of Trustees members and secretary, union presidents, GWC and Coastline Academic Senate presidents, OCC College President and faculty as per OCC Senate bylaws.

**Voting Tallies Chart** 

3	Q	7
J	/	/

Motion 1 09/15/2020 Minutes	Motion 2 09/08/2020 Minutes	Motion 3 Consent Agenda	Senate Membership
Aye	Aye	Aye	Alabi, Jessica A.: Senator-at-Large (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Barnes, Carol: Counseling Senator (2018-2021)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Blair, Jamie: Senator-at-Large (2018-2021)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Boogar, Tyler: Math and Sciences Senator (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Connor, Sean: Senator-at-Large (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Cuellar, Eric: Senator-at-Large (2018-2021)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Della Marna, Jodi: Library & Learning Support Senator (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Denney, Matt: Technology Senator (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	De Shano, Tina: Consumer Health Sciences Senator (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Drew, Rendell: Senator-at-Large (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Ely, Cyndee: Part-Time Senator (2020-2021)
Absent	Absent	Absent	G'bye, Diogba: Part-Time Senator (2020-2021)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Gordon, Lee: Vice President, Senator-at-Large (2019-2022)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Kennedy, Marilyn: Secretary, Literature and Languages Senator (2019-2022)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Legaspi, Jodie: Athletics and Kinesiology Senator (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Lloyd, Doug: Parliamentarian, Senator-at-Large (2020-2023)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Means, Leland: Visual and Performing Arts Senator (2018-2021)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Neil, Jeanne: Business and Computing Senator (2019-2022)
			Otwell, Charles: Curriculum Chair (Non-Voting) (Open)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Pena, Max: Senator-at-Large (2019-2022)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Phillips, Clyde: Senator-at-Large (2020-2021)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Sachs, Loren: President, Senator-at-Large (2019-2022)
Aye	Aye	Aye	Stanton, Jordan: Soc & Beh Sciences Senator (2019-2022)
			Tsai, Stella: SGOCC Representative

Appendix

# <u>District Consultation Council Board Policy and Administrative Procedure (BPAP)</u> <u>Subcommittee Report</u>

Submitted by Marilyn Kennedy to OCC Academic Senate on September 22, 2020

AP 3550: Drug- Free Environment and Drug and Alcohol Abuse Prevention Program: Review of fines to ensure accuracy. The policy changes when the Vice-Chancellor sends out a notice of BP 3550 to all enrolled students from the first week of the semester to the fourth. The policy also changes from three college committees to one district committee to conduct a biennial review of BP 3550.

<u>AP 5910 Sexual Misconduct—Revision, Update</u>: In the last policy update an appeals section was added and expanded. The Dept. of Education now requires a school to offer both parties an appeal from a determination regarding responsibility or a dismissal of a formal complaint or allegations on the following bases (a school may offer an appeal equally to both parties on additional bases):

1. procedural irregularity that affected the outcome

- 2. new evidence that was not reasonably available at the time the determination regarding responsibility or dismissal that could affect the outcome
- 3. the Title IX Coordinator, investigator, or decision-maker had a conflict of interest or bias that affected the outcome. CCCD Proposed Appeals Process in New Policy: it meets the mandates of above. Allows ten business days for a written appeal to be submitted. The Title IX Coordinator appoints three trained employees to the Appeals Committee as long as they do not have any conflicts of interest. Provides a three-day response time for a respondent to reply to an appeal if it involves them. At this juncture, the non-appealing party may raise new grounds for appeal. The proposed policy does not yet stipulate a designated length of time for the Appeals Committee (AC) to review the appeal. When the AC decides by a majority vote (2 out of 3) and with a preponderance of the evidence standard (just over 50%), it has five business days to submit its finding to both parties.
- 422 AP 7120C Faculty Hiring—Revision, Update: (Cal Regulation 53001c—referred to in BP 3420) Equal Employment Opportunity.

  "Equal employment opportunity" means that all qualified individuals have a full and fair opportunity to compete for hiring and promotion and to enjoy the benefits of employment with the district. Equal employment opportunity should exist at all levels and in all job categories listed in section 53004(a).
- CCCD Board Policy 3420 Equal Employment Opportunity: "The District is committed to employing qualified administrators/managers, faculty, and staff members who are dedicated to student learning and success. The Board recognizes that diversity in the academic environment fosters awareness, promotes mutual understanding and respect, and provides suitable role models for all students. The Board is committed to hiring and staff development processes that support the goals of equal opportunity and diversity, and provide equal consideration for all qualified candidates. Ensuring equal employment opportunity also involves creating an environment which fosters cooperation, acceptance, democracy, and free expression of ideas and is welcoming to men and women, persons with disabilities, and individuals from all ethnic and other groups protected from discrimination by this subchapter."
  - From page 35, Student Board of Governors Student Member, Published in the State Chancellor's Report 2020 Vision for Success Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Task Force: "As a collective community of individual colleges, we are invested in cultivating and maintaining a climate where equity and mutual respect are both intrinsic and explicit by valuing individuals and groups from all backgrounds, demographics, and experiences. Individual and group differences can include, but are not limited to the following dimensions: race, ethnicity, national origin or ancestry, citizenship, immigration status, sex, gender, sexual orientation, physical or mental disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, registered domestic partner status, age, political beliefs, religion, creed, military or veteran status, socioeconomic status, and any other basis protected by federal, state or local law or ordinance or regulation. We acknowledge that the concept of diversity and inclusion is ever evolving, thus we create space to allow for our understanding to grow through the periodic review of this statement."

The policy overall has been updated to weave in ways throughout the hiring process to include diversity in hiring and notes that all applicants for faculty positions shall include in the applications "evidence of responsiveness to, and understanding of the racial, ethnic, disability, gender identity, sexual orientation. Socioeconomic, academic, and cultural diversity within the community college student population, including students with different ability statues (e.g. physical and/or learning) as these factors related to the need for equity-minded and inclusive practices within the classroom."

Here are major changes: (1) The College President Can Disapprove of a Search Committee and Adjust It with the Academic Senate: The College President or designee will review the search committee and has new power to approve or disapprove of a committee for lack of diverse backgrounds and/or experiences. The President or designee may collaborate with the Academic Senate to adjust the Search Committee composition. (2) HR/EEO Diversity Checks at Each Step: At the conclusion of each step of the search process, HR/EEO will review recommendations to ensure there is no adverse impact to disproportionately impacted groups with the options of going back for re-inclusion, a new search, or a discontinuance. (3) College President May Add Additional Faculty or Administration to Selection Committees. No justification is provided. (4) Special Request by CFE President for the Policy: The CFE President requested consideration to give tenured faculty priority over tenure-track faculty for placement on a search committee. There was apparently a problem in counseling about this and the committee was not diverse enough. (5) Part-Time Hiring: A change proposed to allow a part-time search committee to be made up of the division

Below are charts comparing student and faculty race/ethnicity percentages in fall of 2018—taken from OCC College Atlas.

Number	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012	Fall 2013	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018
Black or African American	466	435	403	366	317	379	350	371	355	372	364
Asian/Pacific Islander	6,453	6,560	6,370	5,431	4,753	4,886	4,747	4,969	4,828	5,085	5,230
Hispanic/Latino	4,838	5,667	6,309	6,494	6,534	7,192	7,420	7,576	7,467	7,757	7,500
American Indian or Alaska Native	169	141	103	82	62	53	52	47	41	45	50
White/Non- Hispanic	10,572	10,849	10,191	8,759	7,616	7,606	7,389	7,477	7,285	7,125	6,552
Two or More Races*	N/A	491	737	796	780	896	929	973	968	986	965
Unknown/Other	2,617	1,804	920	1,216	1,349	1,122	1,003	882	925	922	586
Total Headcounts	25,115	25,947	25,033	23,144	21,411	22,134	21,890	22,295	21,869	22,292	21,247
Percent	Fall 2008	Fall 2009	Fall 2010	Fall 2011	Fall 2012	Fall 2013	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018
Black or African American	1.9%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%	1.7%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%
Asian/Pacific Islander	25.7%	25.3%	25.4%	23.5%	22.2%	22.1%	21.7%	22.3%	22.1%	22.8%	24.6%
Hispanic/Latino	19.3%	21.8%	25.2%	28.1%	30.5%	32.5%	33.9%	34.0%	34.1%	34.8%	35.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.7%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
White/Non- Hispanic	42.1%	41.8%	40.7%	37.8%	35.6%	34.4%	33.8%	33.5%	33.3%	32.0%	30.8%
Two or More Races*	N/A	N/A	2.9%	3.4%	3.6%	4.0%	4.2%	4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	4.5%
Unknown/Other	10.4%	7.0%	3.7%	5.3%	6.3%	5.1%	4.6%	4.0%	4.2%	4.1%	2.8%
Total Percent Headcounts	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: OCC MIS	Student	Enrollment	data,	Fall	2008-Fall 20	)18

461

	Fall									
Full-time Faculty	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
White/Non-										
Hispanic	75.8%	73.8%	73.9%	73.7%	73.1%	74.0%	72.0%	71.3%	70.0%	70.5%
Asian/Pacific										
Islander	7.3%	7.4%	7.6%	7.7%	7.9%	7.7%	8.5%	10.3%	11.7%	11.4%
Black/African-										
American	3.7%	3.9%	4.0%	4.0%	4.1%	4.1%	4.5%	3.8%	2.9%	2.6%
Hispanic/Latino	10.3%	11.3%	10.8%	11.3%	12.0%	11.4%	11.8%	11.5%	9.9%	10.0%
Native American	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	0.4%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%	0.4%	3.3%	3.3%
Unknown/Other	2.6%	2.7%	2.8%	2.4%	2.1%	2.0%	2.4%	2.7%	2.2%	2.2%
Total Headcount	273	256	249	247	242	246	246	261	273	271

	Fall									
Part-time Faculty	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
White/Non-										
Hispanic	75.1%	75.6%	75.5%	78.1%	74.5%	72.4%	71.0%	71.9%	67.7%	60.7%
Asian/Pacific										
Islander	11.5%	11.2%	10.9%	9.4%	11.0%	11.5%	13.2%	13.7%	14.8%	13.0%
Black/African-										
American	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%	1.7%	1.2%	1.5%	1.7%	1.2%	2.3%	2.8%
Hispanic/Latino	8.0%	7.6%	8.1%	7.2%	9.6%	10.5%	10.9%	10.2%	10.2%	13.0%
Native American	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
Two or More Races	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.8%	5.4%
Unknown/Other	3.7%	3.8%	3.8%	2.8%	2.9%	3.2%	2.6%	1.9%	3.8%	5.0%
Total Headcount	461	472	421	360	408	468	469	430	520	499

# OCC Student Groups/Populations That are Disproportionally Impacted on Key Metrics

Subgroup	ACCESS		RETEN	TION	MATH/ENGL		COMPLETION		TRANSFER	
American Indian or Alaska Native					Female		Female	Male		
Asian	Female									
Black or African American	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Filipino	Female						Female			
Hawaiian or Pacific Islander			Female		Female		Female		Female	
Hispanic or Latino	Female			Male	Female	Male		Male		Male
Some other race	Female	Male							Female	Male
White	Female		Female			Male				
Disabled					Female	Male				
Economically Disadvantaged										
First-Generation			Female	Male	Female	Male		Male	Female	Male
Foster Youth	Female	Male						Male		
LGBT			Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Veteran	Female					Male				

Note. Student groups/populations where there are disproportionate impact in the Student Equity Plan key metrics are highlighted.

PLBLISHED BY OCC OFFICE OF INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS DATE AUGUST 25, 2020

